



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 09/472,691 12/27/1999 TERRY HERMISTON ONYX1022 9088 EXAMINER 7590 03/10/2004 GREGORY GIOTTA PH.D WHITEMAN, BRIAN A VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF LEGAL COUNSEL ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ONYX PHARMACEUTICALS INC 3031 RESEARCH DRIVE 1635 RICHMOND, CA 94806

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/472,691	HERMISTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian Whiteman	1635	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendme condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ent which places the application in a timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
 a)	he mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under the ender the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponsible the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disn	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal tissues for appeal; and/or	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has bee application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	en considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SC raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	DLELY to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be enter explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-6 and 12-14</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>15</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: 7-11.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved	ved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	Sight D. Crube
	SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D

PRIMARY EXAMINER

In

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment is not in compliance with revised 37 CFR 1.121 (See 68 Fed. Reg. 38611 (June 30 2003) or website http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/ifw/ and would require further consideration. The status of Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 12 is improper. Suggest correcting the status of the claims with the proper status (previously presented).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument is most because the proposed amendment was not entered.

Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed claims submitted in a separate letter complying with revised 37 CFR 1.121 would overcome the 112 second paragraph rejection and the claim objection to claims 10 and 15.